

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| A DRI ICA TIONI NO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. 09/258,442 | 02/26/1999 | SYUJI TAKADA | FUJI-15.894 | 3881 |
| 26304 | 7590 01/28/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | MUCHIN ZAVIS ROS | SENMAN | NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA | |
| 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK | L, IN I 10022-2363 | | 2665 | 1- |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/258,442 | TAKADA ET AL. | |
| Advisory Addish | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Phuongchau Ba Nguyen | 2665 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a ition in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | | t forth in |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | s. |
| NOTE: the amended feature, "to use for a single | item", is the new issue. | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | |
| 0 Other: | , , , , , , , , | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argued that Sakurai and Wicklund do not disclose the single data message item be accumulated and written to one selected block. In reply, first, the amended feature of "to use for a single item" raises new issue and requires further search; second, Sakurai does teach the selected block (idle address, col.5, line 61-col.6, line 1), and Wicklund also teach a free location in memory 130 where the cell can be written is determined.

P.Nguyer AU 2665

STEVEN H.D NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER